

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<i>Introduction</i>	xv
---------------------	----

CHAPTER 1

The limit of legislator's discretion in the Constitutional review

1. Premise. The loss of the supremacy by the law	1
2. The “privilege” of a special judge to safeguard legislative discretion	10
2.1. The original distrust of ordinary judges and the refusal of the widespread control of constitutionality	13
2.2. The limits of the decisions of the Constitutional Court in the work of the Constituent Assembly and in the next implementing legislation	24
3. The influence of the art. 28 of the 11 March 1953, no. 87 law in the constitutional review	32
4. The teleological function of the Constitution and the validity of law	38
5. The extension of the judgment on legislative discretion as a reaction to the absence or erroneous pursuit of constitutional purposes	48
6. Legislative omission as a discretionary choice: the Constitutional Court between the protection of <i>denied</i> rights and the recognition of <i>new</i> rights	54
6.1. The supplementary work of the Constitutional Court as a manifestation of the link between the function of constitutional guarantee and the function of political orientation	67

CHAPTER 2

The evolution of Constitutional jurisprudence on the limit of the legislator's discretionary choices

1. The overcoming of the theoretical model through new decision models, for a better dialogue with the legislator	75
1.1. The interpretation	81
1.2. The manipulation	94
2. The doctrine of “obligatory rhymes”	102
3. The Constitutional Court at the crossroads between <i>horror vacui</i> and multiple regulatory solutions to overcome unconstitutionality: the decisions that add a general principle	108

CHAPTER 3

The incidence of the time factor on the choice of decision techniques by the Constitutional Court

1. Impact of time in the decisions of the Constitutional Court	115
2. Decisions of unconstitutionality detected but not declared	118
2.1. From decisions of inadmissibility due to legislative discretion ...	125
2.2. ... to the next acceptance of the question in case of legislator's inertia	135
3. The gradual nature of unconstitutionality over time	140
4. Decisions with a pre-announced epilogue: the Court ahead itself, having to choose between adding a general principle or a precise rule. Some considerations on the (non) binding nature of decisions which add a general principle	146
5. The new course of inadmissibility decisions due to legislative discretion in the most recent constitutional jurisprudence	157
5.1. The peremptoriness of the "warnings" as a manifestation of intolerance towards the continuation of legislative inertia over time	163

Chapter 4

Constitutional Court and *extrema ratio*: the difficult balancing between respect of the rule of law in criminal matters and the need of constitutional justice

SECTION I

The criticism of the permanence in the legal system of criminal sanctions certainly unconstitutional

1. Rule of law in criminal matters and legislative discretion in constitutional jurisprudence	177
2. The proportionality of the criminal sanction examined by the Constitutional Court	187
3. The manipulation of criminal sanctions in the absence of a single constitutionally required solution	194
3.1. Decision no. 236 of 2016 and the overcoming of the <i>tertium comparationis</i> to declare the unconstitutionality of a sanction	196
3.2. Legislative inertia as the cause of the gradual overcoming of the "obligatory rhymes": decision no. 222 of 2018	205
3.3. Narcotic drugs and sanctioning anomalies: decision no. 40 of 2019 and the definitive overcoming of the limit of "obligatory rhymes"	214
4. The new trend on the respect of legislative discretion by the Constitutional Court	225

- 4.1. The conditions for (not) exceeding the limit of legislative discretion, identified by decision no. 28 of 2022 on the fair “price” of personal freedom 233

SECTION II

The technique of the referral order with the identification of a specific date as a solution to limit the times of unconstitutionality

1. The first application of the referral order with the identification of a specific date: order no. 207 of 2018 on the crime of assisted suicide 243
- 1.1. The *priority* of an act of the legislator 255
- 1.2. The *primacy* of constitutional legality over respect for legislative discretion 265
2. Signs of a stable entry of the new decision technique into the jurisprudence of the Constitutional Court 274
- 2.1. The custodial sentence for the crime of press offences 275
- 2.2. The dialogue between the Constitutional Court and the legislator on the regulation of the life imprisonment without the possibility of parole 286

CHAPTER 5

The temporal mobility of the borders that separate the area of the legislative function from that of guaranteeing the constitution. Final considerations

1. Metric revolutions: the passage of time as a condition for overcoming the “*obligatory rhymes*”, using the more flexible “*adequate rhyme*” 297
2. Analysis of the credits (and some critical aspects) of the referral order with the identification of a specific date as a new form of constructive dialogue between the Constitutional Court and the legislator 310
3. The balance between constitutional guarantee and respect for legislator’s discretion to the test of time 315

Bibliography 323

Abstract 347